

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/696,905	10/30/2003	George W. Brint	9084 9237		
7590 11/18/2004			EXAMINER		
John M. Harrison			ARK, DARREN W		
2139 E. Bert Ko Shreveport, LA	·		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
• •			3643	3643	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/696,905	BRINT, GEORGE W.				
Office Action Summary.	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Darren W. Ark	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply		orrespondence dadress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se	eptember 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3,8 and 9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , ,	ed .				
Coo the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the continue copies not receive	· · · ·				
•						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/2/04. 		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/696,905

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I - Figs. 1-4; Species II - Figs. 5, 6A; Species III - Figs. 6, 6A; and Species IV - Figs. 7, 7A.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/696,905 Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. During a telephone conversation with John M. Harrison on Wednesday, June 9, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Species III, claims 4-7. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-3, 8, and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regard to claim 4, line 3, the term "said self-propelled mechanical flying bird" lacks positive antecedent basis since "at least one self-propelled mechanical flying bird" was previously set forth.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,905 Page 4

Art Unit: 3643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gordon 2,246,132.

Gordon discloses a vertically oriented support (3); at least one arm (18); at least one mechanical flying bird (24) with a pair of movable wings (33) for circling the support on the arm responsive to flapping of the wings (when the motor 7 is running the device will cause wings to flap and move the decoy around the support); a bearing (4).

7. Claims 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Fulcher 2002/0162268.

Fulcher discloses a vertically oriented support (34); at least one arm (32); at least one mechanical flying bird (16) with a pair of movable wings (20) for circling the support in response to flapping of the wings (34 received within 32 which has a larger diameter than 34; wings are capable of moving via motor 36); a bearing (top of 34).

8. Claims 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Olson et al. 2003/0208944.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,905 Page 5

Art Unit: 3643

Olson discloses a vertically oriented support (18); at least one arm (16); at least one mechanical flying bird (10) with a pair of movable wings (30) for circling the support in response to flapping of the wings (when wings are blown by wind it turns into the wind, if winds are shifting then the decoy will circle the support); a bearing (top of 18).

9. Claims 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Fencel et al. 6,574,904.

Fencel discloses a vertically oriented support (18); at least one arm (46); at least one mechanical flying bird (10) with a pair of movable wings (16) for circling the support in response to flapping of the wings (when wings are blown by wind it turns into the wind, if winds are shifting then the decoy will circle the support); a bearing (top of 18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 6, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon 2,246,132.

Gordon discloses the claimed invention except for the use of two mechanical flying birds. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize two mechanical flying birds, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art, and because using two mechanical flying birds would serve to attract more attention by using more moving displays in the sign apparatus. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In regard to applicant's argument that "Examiner has failed to establish reasons...for insisting upon restriction...failing to show...claim species belong to separate classifications or fields of search....", the Examiner contends that each of the different species belong to a separate field of search not required in the search for the

Art Unit: 3643

other species which is the basis of the Species Election requirement. For example, the Species in Figs. 1-4 wherein the bird decoy is placed directly upon the support is not

required in the search of Species II or III wherein the bird decoys are suspended from a suspension line which is in turn secured to an arm which is in turn secured to the support. If applicant is traversing on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3643

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darren W. Ark whose telephone number is (703) 305-3733. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on (703) 308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Darren W. Ark Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

DWA